arXiv:1610.07351v1 [math.FA] 24 Oct 2016

Characterization of the property $P\mathcal{B}$ for residually amenable groups*

Guoqiang Li and Xianjin Wang

Abstract

In this paper we show that a countable, residually amenable group has the property $P\mathcal{B}$ (i.e. it admits a proper, affine, isometric action on some uniformly convex Banach space) if and only if one (or equivalently, all) of its box families admits a fibred cofinitely-coarse embedding into some uniformly convex Banach space.

1 Introduction

The Haagerup property, also viewed as the Gromov's definition of a-T-menability (definition in terms of isometric affine actions, see [9]), first introduced in [10] by Haagerup. Considered as a weak form of von Neumann's amenability and a strong negation of Kazhdan's property (T), the Haagerup property has significant applications in many fields of mathematics, including representation theory, K-theory, the Baum-Connes conjecture, harmonic analysis, etc. For example, Higson and Kasparov in their paper [11] established the strong Baum-Connes conjecture for groups with the Haagerup property. Specifically, a (discrete) group G is called a-T-menable or has the Haagerup property if there exists a proper action by affine isometries of G on a Hilbert space. For a class of Banach space $\mathcal{B}$, property $P\mathcal{B}$ is an analog of the Haagerup property where the class of Hilbert space is replaced by the class of Banach space $\mathcal{B}$. In recent years, great progress has been made in the study of this property, and more particularly in the case of L^p spaces for fixed $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For more on this, see [2], [3], [4], [7], [17].

Recall that a finitely generated group G is residually finite if there exists a nested sequence of finite index normal subgroups such that the intersection of these subgroups is trivial. The box space associated to this sequence reflects in some way the structure of the residually finite group. For example, X. Chen, Q. Wang and X. Wang in their paper [6] gave the characterization of the Haagerup property in terms of fibred coarse embedding

*The authors are supported by NSFC (No. 11231002).

into Hilbert space: they showed that a finitely generated, residually finite group has the Haagerup property if and only if one of its box spaces admits a fibred coarse embedding into a Hilbert space. The idea of a fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space for metric spaces was introduced in [5] by X. Chen, Q. Wang, and G. Yu to attack the maximal Baum-Connes conjecture. S. Arnt in [2] extended this result to the class of L^p spaces (for a fixed $p \geq 1$). That is, a finitely generated, residually finite group has the property PL^p if and only if one of its box spaces admits a fibred coarse embedding into some L^p space. K. Orzechowski in [16] extended this result for residually amenable groups (see Definition 2.5 below). That is, a countable, residually amenable group has the Haagerup property if and only if one of its box families admits a fibred cofinitely-coarse embedding into a Hilbert space. Moreover, in [12] G. Kasparov and G. Yu showed that groups admitting coarse embeddings into uniformly convex Banach spaces satisfy the Novikov conjecture. Inspired by the above works, we extend further the result in [6] and give a characterization of the property $P\mathcal{B}$ for residually amenable groups by fibred cofinitely-coarse embeddability (see Definition 2.9 below) of their box families (see Definition 2.6 below).

Theorem 1.1. *A countable, residually amenable group has the property $P\mathcal{B}$ if and only if one (or equivalently, all) of its box families admits a fibred cofinitely-coarse embedding into a uniformly convex Banach space.*

2 Preliminaries

Let $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a Banach space. The modulus of convexity of B is function $\delta_B : [0,2] \to [0,1]$ defined by

$$\delta_B(t) := \inf\left\{1 - \left\|\frac{\xi+\xi'}{2}\right\|_B : \xi, \xi' \in B,\ \|\xi\|_B = \|\xi'\|_B = 1,\ \|\xi - \xi'\|_B \geq t\right\}.$$

The Banach space B is said to be uniformly convex if $\delta_B(t) > 0$ for any $t > 0$.

M. Day in [8] proved the following fact.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(B_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Banach spaces, $1 < p < \infty$ and define*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i := \left\{b = (b_i) : b_i \in B_i,\ \|b\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|b_i\|_{B_i}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\right\}.$$

Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ is uniformly convex if and only if the $(B_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ have a common modulus of convexity.

Remark 2.2. Let G a countable, amenable group. By one of equivalent definitions of amenability, there exists a finitely additive probability measure $\mu : 2^G \to [0,1]$ which is

right-invariant (i.e. $\mu(Az) = \mu(A)$ for $A \subset G$, $z \in G$). Let $(B_z)_{z\in G}$ be a sequence of Banach space, $1 < p < \infty$ and define

$$\bigoplus_{z\in G} B_z := \left\{ b = (b_z) : b_z \in B_z,\ \|b\|_p := \left(\int_G \|b_i\|_{B_z}^p \, d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Then Theorem 2.1 still holds.

An affine isometry is a function $A : B \to B$ sending an element $\xi \in B$ to $I(\xi)+b$ where I is a linear isometry and $b \in B$ is a fixed element. The set of affine isometries of B is a group under composition. We denote this group by AffIsom(B).

Remark 2.3. By the Mazur-Ulam theorem [14], any bijective isometry between normed spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ is in fact an affine isometry.

An affine isometric action of a group G on a Banach space B is a homomorphism α of G into the group AffIsom(B), such an action can be characterized by the following decomposition:

$$\alpha(g)(\xi) = L(g)(\xi) + b(g)$$

for all $g \in G$, $\xi \in B$, where L is an isometric representation of G on B and $b : G \to B$ is a vector valued map. Since α is a homomorphism, we have $\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)\alpha(h)$ for every $g, h \in G$. Hence, for any $\xi \in B$,

$$L(gh)(\xi) + b(gh) = \alpha(gh)(\xi) = \alpha(g)\alpha(h)(\xi) = L(g)L(h)(\xi) + L(g)b(h) + b(g).$$

Thus,

$$b(gh) = L(g)b(h) + b(g). \tag{2.1}$$

The map b satisfying the condition (2.1) is called a cocycle for L. The action α is said to be proper if it satisfies:

$$\forall \xi \in B,\ \forall A \subset B \text{ bounded}, \#\{\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G} : \alpha(\mathrm{g})(\xi) \in \mathrm{A}\} < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

If we equip G with a length function l, then the condition (2.2) is equivalent to the following:

$$\lim_{l(g)\to\infty} \|b(g)\|_B = \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 2.4 (see [2])**.** Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a class of Banach spaces. A (discrete) group G is said to have the property $P\mathcal{B}$ if there exists a Banach space $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and an affine isometric action of G on B which is proper.

In this paper, $\mathcal{B}$ is always assumed to be a sequence of uniformly convex Banach spaces.

Definition 2.5 (see [16]). A countable group G is residually amenable if there exists a sequence $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of normal subgroups in G, such that $G_{n+1} \subset G_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} G_n = \{e_G\}$ and each quotient group G/G_n is amenable.

Obviously, all residually finite groups are residually amenable.

Let G be a residually amenable group and $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of normal subgroups in G satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.5. Endow G with a proper length function l and its associated, left-invariant metric $d(g,h) := l(g^{-1}h)$ for $g, h \in G$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$l_n([g]) := \inf\{l(gh) : h \in G_n\}$$

defines a length function on the quotient group G/G_n. Thus, G/G_n becomes a metric space with the metric d_n induced by l_n. This way, we can obtain a family of metric spaces.

Definition 2.6 (see [16]). Let G be a residually amenable group. The metric family $\{(G/G_n, d_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is said to be the box family of G corresponding to $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Let $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequence of metric spaces. We equip the disjoint union $X = \bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$ with a metric d defined as follows: (1) the restriction of d to each X_n is the original metric of X_n; (2) $d(X_n, X_m) \to \infty$ as $n + m \to \infty$ and $n \neq m$. We remark that the box family $\{(G/G_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ can be considered as the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(G/G_n)$.

The notion of fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space for metric spaces has been introduced in [5]. The Hilbert space in the definition can be replaced by any other metric space Y as a model space.

Definition 2.7 (see [5]). A metric space (X, d_X) is said to admit a fibred coarse embedding into a metric space (Y, d_Y) if there exist:

- a field of metric spaces $(Y_x)_{x\in X}$ such that each Y_x is isometric to Y;
- a section $s : X \to \bigsqcup_{x\in X} Y_x$ (that is, $s(x) \in Y_x$);
- two non-decreasing functions ρ_1 and ρ_2 from $[0,\infty)$ to $(-\infty,\infty)$ with $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \rho_i(r) = \infty\,(i = 1, 2)$

such that, for any $r > 0$, there exists a bounded subset $K_r \subset X$ for which there exists a trivialization

$$t_C : (Y_x)_{x\in C} \to C \times Y$$

for each subset $C \subset X\backslash K_r$ of diameter less than r, that is, a map from $(Y_x)_{x\in C}$ to the constant field $C \times Y$ over C such that the restriction of t_C to the fibre $Y_x\,(x \in C)$ is an isometry $t_C(x) : Y_x \to Y$, satisfying the following conditions:

(i) for any $x, x' \in C$, $\rho_1(d_X(x,x')) \leq d_Y(t_C(x)(s(x)), t_C(x')(s(x'))) \leq \rho_2(d_X(x,x'))$;

(ii) for any two subsets $C_1, C_2 \subset X \backslash K_r$ of diameter less than r with $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \varnothing$, there exists an isometry $t_{C_1C_2} : Y \to Y$ such that $t_{C_1}(x) \circ t_{C_2}^{-1}(x) = t_{C_1C_2}$ for all $x \in C_1 \cap C_2$.

An important application of this property is the following result in [5]:

Theorem 2.8 (see [5]). *Let X be a bounded geometry metric space admitting a fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space. Then the maximal coarse Baum-Connes conjecture holds for X.*

In [16], K. Orzechowski modified the definition above slightly so that it would be useful for box families.

Definition 2.9 (see [16]). A family $\mathscr{X}$ of disjoint metric spaces is said to admit a fibred cofinitely-coarse embedding into a metric space (Y, d_Y) if there exist:

- a field of metric spaces $(Y_x)_{x \in \bigcup \mathscr{X}}$ such that each Y_x is isometric to Y;
- a section $s : \bigcup \mathscr{X} \to \bigsqcup_{x \in \bigcup \mathscr{X}} Y_x$ (that is, $s(x) \in Y_x$);
- two non-decreasing functions ρ_1 and ρ_2 from $[0, \infty)$ to $(-\infty, \infty)$ with $\lim\limits_{r \to \infty} \rho_i(r) = \infty \, (i = 1, 2)$

such that, for any $r > 0$, there exists a finite subfamily $K_r \subset \mathscr{X}$ such that, for any metric space $(X, d_X) \in \mathscr{X} \backslash K_r$ and for any subset $C \subset X$ of diameter less than r, there exists a trivialization

$$t_C : (Y_x)_{x \in C} \to C \times Y$$

such that the restriction of t_C to the fibre $Y_x \, (x \in C)$ is an isometry $t_C(x) : Y_x \to Y$, satisfying the following conditions:

(i) for any $x, x' \in C$, $\rho_1(d_X(x,x')) \leq d_Y(t_C(x)(s(x)), t_C(x')(s(x'))) \leq \rho_2(d_X(x,x'))$;

(ii) for any two subsets $C_1, C_2 \subset X \backslash K_r$ of diameter less than r with $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \varnothing$, there exists an isometry $t_{C_1C_2} : Y \to Y$ such that $t_{C_1}(x) \circ t_{C_2}^{-1}(x) = t_{C_1C_2}$ for all $x \in C_1 \cap C_2$.

For more details on fibred cofinitely-coarse embeddability, the readers can consult [16].

3 Characterization of the property $P\mathcal{B}$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1. Firstly, using the notion of ultrafilters and ultraproducts, we will build a global isometric affine action from a family of r-locally isometric affine actions with $r \to +\infty$.

Definition 3.1 (see [1]). Let $\mathcal{U}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. $\mathcal{U}$ is said to be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ if it satisfies:

(1) the empty set $\varnothing$ does not belong to $\mathcal{U}$;

(2) if $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ and $A \subset B$, $A \in \mathcal{U}$, then $B \in \mathcal{U}$;

(3) if $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$, then $A \in \mathcal{U}$ or $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \mathcal{U}$;

(4) all the finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ do not belong to $\mathcal{U}$.

Let $(x_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded real valued sequence. The $\mathcal{U}$-limit of $(x_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the unique $x \in \mathbb{R}$ denoted by $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} x_r$; it is characterized by the fact that the set $\{r \in \mathbb{N} : |x_r - x| \leq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Next we shall introduce the ultraproduct of a family of Banach spaces. (see [13])

Let $(B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of Banach spaces and $\mathcal{U}$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Consider the space

$$\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, (B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}) := \left\{(a_r) \in \prod_{r\in\mathbb{N}} B_r : \sup_{r\in\mathbb{N}} \|a_r\|_{B_r} \leq \infty\right\}$$

endowed with the seminorm $\|(a_r)\|_{\mathcal{U}} := \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|a_r\|_{B_r}$ for $(a_r) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, (B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}})$, we set

$$(a_r) \sim_{\mathcal{U}} (b_r) \text{ if and only if } \|(\mathrm{a_r}) - (\mathrm{b_r})\|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

It's easy to verify that (3.1) defines an equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, (B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}})$. Then the ultraproduct $B_{\mathcal{U}}$ of the family $(B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to a non-principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ is the closure of the space $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, (B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}})/\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B_{\mathcal{U}}} := \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Definition 3.2 (see [2]). Let G be a finitely generated group and r be a non-negative real number.

(1) Let X be a set. A map $\alpha : G \times X \to X$ is said to be a r-locally action of G on X if:

i) for all $g \in G$ satisfying $l(g) < r$, $\alpha(g) : X \to X$ is a bijection;

ii) for all $g, h \in G$ such that $l(g)$, $l(h)$, $l(gh)$ are less than r,

$$\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)\alpha(h).$$

(2) Let B be a Banach space. A map $L : G \times B \to B$ is said to be a r-locally isometric representation of G on B if L is a r-locally action of G on B and for all $g \in G$ such that $l(g) < r$, $L(g) : B \to B$ is a linear isometry. In this case, a map $b : G \to B$ such that, for all $g, h \in G$ satisfying

$$\max\{l(g),\, l(h),\, l(gh)\} \leq r,\ L(g)b(h) + b(g) = b(gh),$$

is said to be a r-locally cocycle with respect to L.

(3) Let B be a Banach space. A map $\alpha : G \times B \to B$ is called a r-locally isometric affine action of G on B if it satisfies

$$\alpha(g)(\xi) = L(g)(\xi) + b(g)$$

for any $\xi \in B$, where L is a r-locally isometric representation and b is a r-locally cocycle for L.

The following lemma was proved by A. G. Aksoy and M. A. Khamsi in [1].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of uniformly convex Banach spaces with a common modulus of convexity. Then for any ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathbb{N}$ the ultraproduct $B_{\mathcal{U}}$ is uniformly convex.*

The next Lemma introduced in [2] is a very important tool in proving our main result. We include the proof below for the convenience of the readers.

Lemma 3.4 (see [2])**.** *Let G be a finitely generated group, $(B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of Banach spaces and $B_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the ultraproduct of the family $(B_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to a non-principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathbb{N}$. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, assume that G admits a r-locally isometric affine action α_r on B_r with*

$$\alpha_r(g)\cdot = L_r(g)\cdot + b_r(g).$$

If, for all $g \in G$, $(b_r(g))_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ belongs to $B_{\mathcal{U}}$, then there exists an isometric affine action α of G on $B_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that

$$\alpha(g)\cdot = L(g)\cdot + b(g)$$

where L is an isometric representation of G on $B_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $L(g)a = (L_r(g)a_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ for $g \in G$, $a = (a_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}} \in B_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $b : G \to B_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a cocycle with respect to L satisfying, for $g \in G$:

$$b(g) = (b_r(g))_{r\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Proof. First, we show that L is an isometric representation of G on $B_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Let $g, h \in G$, $a = (a_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}} \in B_{\mathcal{U}}$. For all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r > \max\{l(g), l(h), l(gh)\}$, we have, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{r \in \mathbb{N} : \|L_r(g)L_r(h)a_r - L_r(gh)a_r\|_{b_r} < \varepsilon\}$$

is finite and belongs to $\mathcal{U}$. Hence,

$$\|L(g)L(h)a - L(gh)a\|_{B_{\mathcal{U}}} = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|L_r(g)L_r(h)a_r - L_r(gh)a_r\|_{B_r} = 0.$$

By the notion of $B_{\mathcal{U}}$, we obtain that $L(g)L(h) = L(gh)$. This show that L is a representation of G on $B_{\mathcal{U}}$. Now, for $g \in G$, since for all r large enough, $L_r(g)$ is an isometric isomorphism of B_r, it follows, by a similar argument, that $L(g)$ is an isometric isomorphism of $B_{\mathcal{U}}$. Thus, L is an isometric representation of G on $B_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Second, we show that b is a cocycle with respect to L. Let $g, h \in G$. For all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$r > \max(l(g), l(h), l(gh)),$$

we have

$$b_r(gh) = L_r(g)b(h) + b_r(g).$$

Hence,

$$b(gh) = L(g)b(h) + b(g)$$

for all $g, h \in G$, and then b is a cocycle with respect to L.
It follows that the map α such that

$$\alpha(g)\cdot = L(g) \cdot + b(g)$$

is an isometric affine action of G on $B_{\mathcal{U}}$. □

Now we are ready to complete the proof of our main result.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a countable, residually amenable group. If a box family of G admits a fibred cofinitely-coarse embedding into a uniformly convex Banach space, then G has property $P\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of normal subgroups with amenable quotients, satisfying the condition of Definition 2.5, such that the associated box family $\mathscr{X} := \{(G/G_n, d_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ admits a fibred cofinitely-coarse embedding into a uniformly convex Banach space B.

We set $X_n = G/G_n$. Fix an integer $r > 0$. Let K_r be such as in Definition 2.9. Since K_r is a finite family, there exists n_r such that $(X_{n_r}, d_{n_r}) \in \mathscr{X} \setminus K_r$. For each $C \subset X_{n_r}$ of diameter less than r, there exists a trivialization t_C satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 2.9.

Now, choose n_r large enough such that X_{n_r} and the quotient map $\pi_{n_r} : G \to X_{n_r}$ is r-isometric, i.e., for each subset $Y \subset G$ of diameter less than r, $(\pi_{n_r})|_Y$ is an isometry onto its image.

For $z \in X_{n_r}$, we denote by $C_z := \{x \in X_{n_r} : d_{X_{n_r}}(z, x) < r\}$ the r-ball centered in z of X_{n_r} and we define, for $x \in X_{n_r}$, the following vector $c_r^z(x)$ in B:

$$c_r^z(x) = \begin{cases} t_{C_z}(z)(s(z)) - t_{C_z}(zx)(s(zx)), & \text{if } d_{X_{nr}}(e, x) < r \text{ (i.e. } x \in C_e); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where e is the identity element of X_{n_r}. Suppose ρ_1, ρ_2 are the controlling functions from Definition 2.9, we obtain

$$\rho_1(d_{X_{n_r}}(e,x)) \leq \|c_r^z(x)\|_B \leq \rho_2(d_{X_{n_r}}(e,x))$$

for any $x \in C_e$.

Let us consider the map $\widetilde{b}_r : X_{n_r} \to \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$, defined by, for $x \in X_{n_r}$:

$$\widetilde{b}_r(x) = \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} c_r^z(x).$$

Now we are going to define the norm of $\bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$. We refer to amenability of X_{n_r}. By one of equivalent definitions of amenability, there exists a finitely additive and right-invariant probability measure $\mu_{n_r} : 2^{X_{n_r}} \to [0,1]$. This measure determines a linear, order-preserving functional on $\ell^\infty(X_{n_r})$ which is invariant under composition with right multiplication in the group. For $\xi = \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} \xi_z \in \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$, $\xi_z \in B$ define

$$\|\xi\|_p = \left(\int_{X_{n_r}} \|\xi_z\|_B^p d\mu_{n_r}(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Hence, for $x \notin C_e$, $\widetilde{b}_r$ vanishes, and for $x \in C_e$,

$$\rho_1(d_{X_{n_r}}(e,x)) \leq \|\widetilde{b}_r(x)\|_p = \left(\int_{X_{n_r}} \|c_r^z(x)\|_B^p d\mu_{n_r}(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \rho_2(d_{X_{n_r}}(e,x)).$$

For $x \in C_e$ and $z \in X_{n_r}$, we define $\widetilde{\sigma}_r(x) : \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B \to \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$ by, for all $\xi = \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} \xi_z$:

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_r(x)(\xi) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} t_{C_z C_{zx}}(\xi_{zx}), & \text{if } x \in C_e; \\ \xi, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We claim that $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ is a r-locally isometric representation and $\widetilde{b}_r$ is r-locally cocycle respect to $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$. First, it is clear that $\widetilde{\sigma}_r(x)$ is a isometric isomorphism for all $x \in X_{n_r}$; it follows from Definition 2.9 (ii) that $t_{C_z C_{zy}} \circ t_{C_{zy} C_{zyx}} = t_{C_z C_{zyx}}$ for all $x, y \in C_e$, with $d_{X_{n_r}}(e, yx) < r$. Indeed,

$$t_{C_z C_{zy}}(w) = t_{C_z}(w) \circ t_{C_{zy}}^{-1}(w),$$

$$t_{C_{zy} C_{zyx}}(w) = t_{C_{zy}}(w) \circ t_{C_{zyx}}^{-1}(w)$$

for any $w \in X_{n_r}$. Then we have $t_{C_z C_{zy}} \circ t_{C_{zy} C_{zyx}} = t_{C_z C_{zyx}}$. Suppose $x, y, yx \in C_e$, for any $\xi \in \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_r(yx)(\xi) = \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} t_{C_z C_{zyx}}(\xi_{zyx}) = \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} t_{C_z C_{zy}} \circ t_{C_{zy} C_{zyx}}(\xi_{zyx})$$

and

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_r(y)\widetilde{\sigma}_r(x)(\xi) = \widetilde{\sigma}_r(y)\Big(\bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} t_{C_zC_{zx}}(\xi_{zx})\Big)$$
$$= \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} t_{C_zC_{zy}} \circ t_{C_{zy}C_{zyx}}(\xi_{zyx}).$$

Hence, $\widetilde{\sigma}_r(yx) = \widetilde{\sigma}_r(y)\widetilde{\sigma}_r(x)$. Thus, $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ is a r-locally isometric representation of X_{n_r} on $\bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$.
Second, for $x, y \in C_e$ with $d_{X_{n_r}}(e, yx) < r$, we have

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_r(y)(\widetilde{b}_r(x)) + \widetilde{b}_r(y) = \widetilde{b}_r(yx).$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{C_zC_{zy}}(c_r^{zy}(x)) &= t_{C_zC_{zy}}(t_{C_{zy}}(zy)(s(zy)) - t_{C_{zy}}(zyx)(s(zyx))) \\ &= t_{C_zC_{zy}} \circ t_{C_{zy}}(zy)(s(zy)) - t_{C_zC_{zy}} \circ t_{C_{zy}}(zyx)(s(zyx)) \\ &= t_{C_z}(zy)(s(zy)) - t_{C_z}(zyx)(s(zyx)). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is by Definition 2.9 (ii). Thus,

$$t_{C_zC_{zy}}(c_r^{zy}(x)) + c_r^z(y) = t_{C_z}(z)(s(z)) - t_{C_z}(zyx)(s(zyx)) = c_r^z(yx).$$

It follow that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\sigma}_r(y)(\widetilde{b}_r(x)) + \widetilde{b}_r(y) \\ &= \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} (t_{C_zC_{zy}}(c_r^{zy}(x)) + c_r^z(y)) \\ &= \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} c_r^z(yx) \\ &= \widetilde{b}_r(yx), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $\widetilde{b}_r$ is r-locally cocycle with respect to $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$.

Now, let $\sigma_r := \widetilde{\sigma}_r \circ \pi_{n_r}$, $b_r := \widetilde{b}_r \circ \pi_{n_r}$ be the lifts of $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ and $\widetilde{b}_r$ to the r-ball $\{g \in G : d_G(e_G, g) < r\}$ of G and define $\sigma_r = \mathrm{Id}$, $b_r = 0$ outside the ball. Then, obviously, σ_r is a r-locally isometric representation action of G on $\bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$, b_r is a r-locally cocycle with respect to σ_r. Then the map α_r such that

$$\alpha_r(g)(\xi) := \sigma_r(g)(\xi) + b_r(g)$$

for $\xi \in \bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$ is a r-locally isometric affine action of G on $\bigoplus_{z\in X_{n_r}} B$ and we have, for $g \in G$ with $d_G(e_G, g) < r$:

$$\rho_1(d_G(e_G, g)) \leq \|b_r(g)\|_p \leq \rho_2(d_G(e_G, g)).$$

By Lemma 3.4, from these local isometric affine actions, we can build a global isometric affine action of G.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$, and let $B_\mathcal{U}$ be the ultraproduct of the family $(\bigoplus_{X_{n_r}} B)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to $\mathcal{U}$. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, α_r is a r-locally isometric affine action of G on $\bigoplus_{X_{n_r}} B$ and for $g \in G$, $\|b_r(g)\|_p \leq \rho_2(d_G(e_G, g))$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, so $(b_r(g))_{r\in\mathbb{N}} \in B_\mathcal{U}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.4, there exists an isometric affine α of G on $B_\mathcal{U}$ such that $b : g \mapsto (b_r(g))_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a cocycle for α. In addition, since for any $g \in G$, $\rho_1(d_G(e_G, g)) \leq \|b_r(g)\|_p$ for all r large enough, we have $\rho_1(d_G(e_G, g)) \leq \|b(g)\|_{B_\mathcal{U}}$ for all $g \in G$. Then, by the condition (2.3), α is proper.

Now we will show that $B_\mathcal{U}$ is a uniformly convex Banach space. By Day's Theorem (i.e. Theorem 2.1), we obtain that $\bigoplus_{X_{n_r}} B$ is uniformly convex. Let $E_i := \bigoplus_{X_{n_{r_i}}} B$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of uniformly convex Banach spaces with a common modulus of convexity. Indeed, we can assume that G/G_1 is countably infinite. It follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, G/G_n is countably infinite. For each $i \in \mathbb{B}$, E_i is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{N}} B$. Hence, $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ have a common modulus of convexity. By Lemma 3.3, we know that $B_\mathcal{U}$ is a uniformly convex Banach space. It follows that there exists a proper action by affine isometries of G on a uniformly convex Banach space, i.e., G has the property $P\mathcal{B}$. The proof is complete.

□

Now, we are moving on to the converse statement. The proof essentially applies the method used to prove Theorem 4.3 in [16] which still goes through if we replace the Hilbert space with the uniformly convex Banach space. We include the proof below.

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a countable, residually amenable group. If G has the property $P\mathcal{B}$, then any box family of G admits a fibred cofinitely-coarse embedding into some uniformly convex Banach space.*

Proof. Let $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a nested sequence of normal subgroups of G with trivial intersection and $\mathscr{X} := \{(G/G_n, d_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the corresponding box family. By assumption, there exists a uniformly convex Banach space B and a proper action of G on B by affine isometries, i.e. there exists a homomorphism $\alpha : G \to \mathrm{AffIsom(B)}$. For $g \in G$, $\xi \in B$, $\alpha(g)$ can be written as:

$$\alpha(g)(\xi) = L(g)(\xi) + b(g)$$

where L is an isometric representation of G and b is a cocycle with respect to L satisfying

$$b(gh) = L(g)(b(h)) + b(g)$$

for all $g, h \in G$. Note that when $g, h = e_G$, we have $b(e_G) = 0$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a natural action of G_n on $G \times B$, explicitly we have

$$g(x, \xi) := (gx, \alpha(g)(\xi))$$

for $g \in G_n$, $x \in G$, $\xi \in B$. The orbit of (x, ξ) will be denoted by $[(x, \xi)]$. Let $\pi_n : G \to G/G_n$ be a quotient map. If $\pi_n(a) = G_n a = [a]$ is an element of G/G_n, the action can be restricted to $G_n a \times B$ and we define

$$B_{[a]} := (G_n a \times B)/G_n$$

which means that $B_{[a]}$ is the orbit space. We can define a metric on $B_{[a]}$:

$$d_{B_{[a]}}([(x, \xi)], [(x', \xi')]) := \|\xi' - \alpha(x'x^{-1})(\xi)\|_B.$$

Next, we will show that $d_{B_{[a]}}$ is well-defined. Let $x, x', x'' \in G_n a$, $\xi, \xi', \xi'' \in B$, $g, g' \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{B_{[a]}}([(gx, \alpha(g)(\xi))], [(g'x', \alpha(g')(\xi'))]) \\
=&\|\alpha(g')(\xi') - \alpha(g'x'x^{-1}g^{-1})\alpha(g)(\xi)\|_B = \|\alpha(g')(\xi') - \alpha(g'x'x^{-1})(\xi)\|_B \\
=&\|\xi' - \alpha(x'x^{-1})\|_B = d_{B_{[a]}}([(x, \xi)], [(x', \xi')]),
\end{aligned}$$

because $\alpha(g')$ is an affine isometry. It follows that definition of $d_{B_{[a]}}$ is independent on the choice of representative. We shall verify the basic conditions that the metric should satisfy:

i) $d_{B_{[a]}} \geq 0$. Moreover, if $d_{B_{[a]}}([(x, \xi)], [(x', \xi')]) = 0$, then $\xi' = \alpha(x'x^{-1})(\xi)$. So we have $[(x', \xi')] = [(x', \alpha(x'x^{-1}))(\xi)] = [x'x^{-1}x, \alpha(x'x^{-1}))(\xi)] = [(x, \xi)]$. Conversely, if $[(x, \xi)] = [(x', \xi')]$, then there exists $g \in G$, such that $g(x, \xi) = (gx, \alpha(g)(\xi)) = (x', \xi')$. Thus, $\xi' = \alpha(x'x^{-1})(\xi)$, $d_{B_{[a]}}([(x, \xi)], [(x', \xi')]) = \|\xi' - \alpha(x'x^{-1})(\xi)\|_B = 0$.

ii) Obviously, the symmetry holds.

iii) The triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{B_{[a]}}([(x, \xi)], [(x', \xi')]) + d_{B_{[a]}}([(x', \xi')], [(x'', \xi'')]) \\
=&\|\xi' - \alpha(x'x^{-1})(\xi)\|_B + \|\xi'' - \alpha(x''x'^{-1})(\xi')\|_B \\
=&\|\xi'' - \alpha(x''x'^{-1})(\xi')\|_B + \|\alpha(x''x'^{-1})\xi' - \alpha(x''x'^{-1})\alpha(x'x^{-1})(\xi)\|_B \\
\geq&\|\xi'' - \alpha(x''x^{-1})(\xi)\|_B \\
=&d_{B_{[a]}}([(x, \xi)], [(x'', \xi'')]).
\end{aligned}$$

In the following statement, we are going to show that $B_{[a]}$ defined above corresponds to Y_x in the notion of fibred cofinitely-coarse embeddings. For any fixed $a_0 \in G_n a$, we can define an map from $B_{[a]}$ onto B, as follows:

$$[(x, \xi)] \mapsto \alpha(a_0 x^{-1})(\xi). \tag{3.2}$$

This map is isometric. Indeed,

$$\|\alpha(a_0x'^{-1})(\xi') - \alpha(a_0x^{-1})(\xi)\|_B = \|\xi' - \alpha(x'x^{-1})(\xi)\|_B = d_{B_{[a]}}([(x,\xi)],[(x',\xi')]),$$

and each $\xi \in B$ is the image of $[(a_0, \xi)]$.

So, we have obtained the field $(B_{[a]})_{[a]\in G/G_n}$ of metric spaces isometric to B. letting n vary, we get $(B_x)_{x\in\bigcup \mathscr{X}}$. For $[a] \in G/G_n$, put $s([a]) := [(a, b(a))] \in B_{[a]}$. Since

$$[(ga, b(ga))] = [(ga, L(g)(b(a)) + b(g))] = [(ga, \alpha(g)(b(a)))] = [(a, b(a))],$$

this is a well-defined section on $\bigcup \mathscr{X}$.

For any $r > 0$. Let $n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that, for $n \geq n_r$, $B_G(e_G, 3r) \cap G_n = e_G$. Let now $K_r := \{G/G_n : n < n_r\}$. Choose a space $(G/G_n, d_n) \in \mathscr{X} \setminus K_r$ and a subset $C \subset G/G_n$ with diameter less than r. We will define a trivialization:

$$t_C : \bigsqcup_{x\in C} B_x \to C \times B.$$

Let $g \in G$ be an arbitrary point ("basepoint") of $\pi_n^{-1}(C)$. Then each $[a] \in C$ has a unique lift $a_0 \in G$ such that $[a_0] = [a]$ and $d(g, a_0) < r$. Moreover, the lifting map $[a] \mapsto a_0$ is isometric on C. We define $t_C([a]) : B_{[a]} \to B$ to be the map give in (3.2) with respect to our choice of a_0. Now, for any $[a], [a'] \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|t_C([a])(s([a])) - t_C([a'])(s([a']))\|_B \\
=&\|t_C([a])([(a, b(a))]) - t_C([a'])([(a', b(a'))])\|_B \\
=&\|\alpha(a_0a^{-1})(b(a)) - \alpha(a_0'a'^{-1})(b(a'))\|_B \\
=&\|L(a_0a^{-1})(b(a)) + b(a_0a^{-1}) - (L(a_0'a'^{-1})(b(a')) + b(a_0'a'^{-1}))\|_B \\
=&\|b(a_0a^{-1}a_0) - b(a_0'a'^{-1}a_0')\|_B = \|b(a_0) - b(a_0')\|_B \\
=&\|L(a_0^{-1})(b(a_0)) + b(a_0^{-1}) - (L(a_0^{-1})(b(a_0')) + b(a_0^{-1}))\|_B \\
=&\|b(a_0^{-1}a_0) - b(a_0^{-1}a_0')\|_B \\
=&\|b(e_G) - b(a_0^{-1}a_0')\|_B \\
=&\|b(a_0^{-1}a_0')\|_B.
\end{aligned}$$

If we define $\rho_1(x) := \min\{\|b(g)\|_B : g \in G,\, l(g) \geq x\}$ and $\rho_2(x) := \max\{\|b(g)\|_B : g \in G,\, l(g) \leq x\}$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$\|t_C([a])(s([a])) - t_C([a'])(s([a']))\|_B = \|b(a_0^{-1}a_0')\|_B.$$

Moreover,

$$\|b(a_0^{-1}a_0')\|_B \in [\rho_1(d(a_0, a_0')), \rho_2(d(a_0, a_0'))] = [\rho_1(d_n([a],[a'])), \rho_2(d_n([a],[a']))].$$

Note that the controlling functions do not depend on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The condition $\lim_{r\to\infty} \rho_1(r) = \infty$ is satisfied due to the fact that the action of G on B is proper. In the trivial situation when G is finite, ρ_1 needs a slight modification to avoid taking the value ∞, because the set $\{\|b(g)\|_B : g \in G, l(g) \geq x\}$ is empty for large x. However, this is not a significant problem.

It only suffices to show that, whenever $C_1, C_2 \subset G/G_n$ have diameters less than r and $[a] \in C_1 \cap C_2$, the corresponding trivializations $t_{C_1}([a])$, $t_{C_2}([a])$ differ only by an isometric map $t_{C_1}([a]) \circ t_{C_2}^{-1}([a]) : B \to B$. Indeed, let $g_1 \in \pi_n^{-1}(C_1)$ and $g_2 \in \pi_n^{-1}(C_2)$ be "basepoints" and a_1, a_2 corresponding lifts of $[a]$. Then, for any $\xi \in B$, we have

$$(t_{C_1}([a]) \circ t_{C_2}^{-1}([a]))(\xi) = t_{C_1}([a])([(a_2, \xi)]) = \alpha(a_1 a_2^{-1})(\xi),$$

because each $\xi \in B$ is the image of $[(a_2, \xi)]$. By assumption, the map $\alpha(a_1 a_2^{-1}) : B \to B$ is an isometry, which completes the proof.

□

By Proposition 3.5 and 3.6, we obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for a residually amenable group to have the property $P\mathcal{B}$. That is, Theorem 1.1 is proved.

References

[1] A. G. Aksoy and M. A. Khamsi, *Nonstandard Methods in Fixed Point Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[2] S. Arnt, *Fibred coarse embeddability of box spaces and proper isometric affine actions on L^p spaces*. Preprint 2015. arXiv:1508.05033.

[3] U. Bader, A. Furman, T. Gelander, N. Monod, *Property (T) and rigidity for actions on Banach spaces*, Acta math. **198**(2007), 57-105.

[4] I. Chatterji, C. Drutu, F. Haglund, *Kazhdan and Haagerup properties from the median viewpoint*, Adv. Math. **225**(2010), 882-921.

[5] X. Chen, Q. Wang, G. Yu, *The maximal coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space*, Adv. Math. **249**(2013), 88-130.

[6] X. Chen, Q. Wang, X. Wang, *Characterization of the Haagerup property by fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45**(2013), 1091-1099.

[7] P.-A. Cherix, F. Martin, A. Valette, *Spaces with measured walls, the Haagerup property and property (T)*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24**(2004), 1895-1908.

[8] M. Day, *Some more uniformly convex spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **47**(1941), 504-507.

[9] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic Invariants of Infnite Groups*, Volume 2 of "Geometry Group Theory, Sussex 1991", G. A. Niblo and M. A. Roller Eds, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.

[10] U. Haagerup, *An example of a nonnuclear C^*-algebra, which has the metric approximation property*, Invent. Math. **50**(1978), 279-293.

[11] N. Higson, G. Kasparov, *E-theory and KK-theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space*, Invent. Math. **144**(2001), 23-74.

[12] G. Kasparov, G. Yu, *The Novikov conjecture and geometry of Banach spaces*, Geom. Topol. **16**(2012), 1859-1880.

[13] J. Li, Q. Wang, *Remarks on coarse embeddings of metric spaces into uniformly convex Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **320**(2006), 892-901.

[14] S. Mazur, S. Ulam, *Sur les transformations isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **194**(1932), 946-948.

[15] P. Nowak, G. Yu, *Large Scale Geometry*, European Mathematical Society, 2012.

[16] K. Orzechowski, *Characterization of the Haagerup property for residually amenable groups*. Preprint 2016. arXiv:1605.04830.

[17] G. Yu, *Hyperbolic groups adimt proper affine isometric action on ℓ^p-spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15**(2005), 1144-1151.

Guoqiang Li
College of Mathematics and Statistics,
Chongqing University (at Huxi Campus),
Chongqing 401331, P. R. China
E-mail: guoqiangli@cqu.edu.cn

Xianjin Wang
College of Mathematics and Statistics,
Chongqing University (at Huxi Campus),
Chongqing 401331, P. R. China
E-mail: xianjinwang@cqu.edu.cn